arXiv:1703.02820v1 [math.CA] 8 Mar 2017

ON ONE NEARLY EVERYWHERE CONTINUOUS AND NOWHERE DIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTION, THAT DEFINED BY AUTOMATON WITH FINITE MEMORY

SYMON SERBENYUK

Abstract. This paper is devoted to the investigation of the following function

$$f : x = \Delta^3_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_n\ldots} \to \Delta^3_{\varphi(\alpha_1)\varphi(\alpha_2)\ldots\varphi(\alpha_n)\ldots} = f(x) = y,$$

where $\varphi(i) = \frac{-3i^2+7i}{2}$, $i \in N_2^0 = \{0, 1, 2\}$, and $\Delta^3_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_n\ldots}$ is the ternary representation of $x \in [0; 1]$. That is values of this function are obtained from the ternary representation of the argument by the following change of digits: 0 by 0, 1 by 2, and 2 by 1. This function preserves the ternary digit 0.

Main mapping properties and differential, integral, fractal properties of the function are studied. Equivalent representations by additionally defined auxiliary functions of this function are proved.

This paper is the paper translated from Ukrainian (the Ukrainian variant [5] available at https://www.researchgate.net/publication/292970012). In 2012, the Ukrainian variant [5] of this paper was represented by the author in the International Scientific Conference "Asymptotic Methods in the Theory of Differential Equations" dedicated to 80th anniversary of M. I. Shkil (the conference paper available at https://www.researchgate.net/publication/301765319). In 2013, the investigations of the present article were generalized by the author in the paper [8] "One one class of functions with complicated local structure" (https://arxiv.org/pdf/1601.06126.pdf) and in the several conference papers [6, 7] (available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/301765326, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/303052308).

1. Introduction

Fractal sets use often in the metric theory of numbers and the probability theory of numbers, and the investigations of the mathematical objects with complicated local structure as well. To investigate such sets in space $\mathbb{R}^2$, it is considered often these sets as graphs of functions that take $\mathbb{R}^1$ to $\mathbb{R}^1$.

This article is devoted to one example of function with complicated local structure such that the argument and values of the function defined in terms of the ternary representation of real numbers.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26A27; Secondary 11B34, 11K55, 39B22.

Key words and phrases. Nowhere differentiable function, automaton with a finite memory, fractal, functional equations.

2. The Object of Research

We shall not consider numbers whose the ternary representations have the period (2) (without the number 1). Consider a certain function f defined on $[0;1]$ by the following way:

$$x=\Delta^3_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_n\ldots}\stackrel{f}{\to}\Delta^3_{\varphi(\alpha_1)\varphi(\alpha_2)\ldots\varphi(\alpha_n)\ldots}=f(x)=y, \tag{1}$$

where $\varphi(i)=\frac{-3i^2+7i}{2}$, $i\in N_2^0=\{0,1,2\}$.

In this paper, we consider differential, integral, fractal and other properties of the function f.

We begin with definitions of some auxiliary functions. Let i,j,k be pairwise distinct digits of the ternary number system. First let us introduce a function $\varphi_{ij}(\alpha)$ defined on an alphabet of the ternary number system by the following:

	i	j	k
$\varphi_{ij}(\alpha)$	0	0	1

That is f_{ij} is a function given on $[0;1]$ by the following way

$$x=\Delta^3_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_n\ldots}\stackrel{f_{ij}}{\to}\Delta^3_{\varphi_{ij}(\alpha_1)\varphi_{ij}(\alpha_2)\ldots\varphi_{ij}(\alpha_n)\ldots}=f_{ij}(x)=y.$$

Remark 1. From the definition of f_{ij} it follows that $f_{01}=f_{10}$, $f_{02}=f_{20}$, $f_{12}=f_{21}$. Since it is true, we shall use only the following denotations: f_{01},f_{02},f_{12}.

Lemma 1. *The function f can be represented by the following equivalent equalities:*

(1)

$$f(x)=2x-3f_{01}(x),\ \textit{where}\ \Delta^3_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_n\ldots}\stackrel{f_{01}}{\to}\Delta^3_{\varphi_{01}(\alpha_1)\varphi_{01}(\alpha_2)\ldots\varphi_{01}(\alpha_n)\ldots}, \tag{2}$$

$\varphi_{01}(i)=\frac{i^2-i}{2}$, $i\in N_2^0$;

(2)

$$f(x)=\frac{3}{2}-x-3f_{12}(x),\ \textit{where}\ \Delta^3_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_n\ldots}\stackrel{f_{12}}{\to}\Delta^3_{\varphi_{12}(\alpha_1)\varphi_{12}(\alpha_2)\ldots\varphi_{12}(\alpha_n)\ldots}, \tag{3}$$

$\varphi_{12}(i)=\frac{i^2-3i+2}{2}$, $i\in N_2^0$.

(3)

$$f(x)=\frac{x}{2}+\frac{3}{2}f_{02}(x),\ \textit{where}\ \Delta^3_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_n\ldots}\stackrel{f_{02}}{\to}\Delta^3_{\varphi_{02}(\alpha_1)\varphi_{02}(\alpha_2)\ldots\varphi_{02}(\alpha_n)\ldots}, \tag{4}$$

$\varphi_{02}(i)=-i^2+2i$, $i\in N_2^0$.

Proof. It is easy to see that the ternary representation of each number $x=\Delta^3_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_n\ldots}$ can be represented by the sum of two numbers $\Delta^3_{\beta_1\beta_2\ldots\beta_n\ldots}$ and $\Delta^3_{\gamma_1\gamma_2\ldots\gamma_n\ldots}$ such that $\beta_n\in N_1^0$ and $\gamma_n\in N_1^0$ for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Here $\alpha_n=2$ if and only if $\beta_n=\gamma_n=1$.

It is easily shown that $f(x)=2x$ on the following set

$$C[3,\{0,1\}]=\{x: x=\Delta^3_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_n\ldots},\ \alpha_n\in\{0,1\}\}$$

This fact follows from the definition of f.

Since $1 = \varphi(2) \neq \varphi(1) + \varphi(1) = 4$, we have $\varphi(2) = \varphi(1) + \varphi(1) - 3$. Hence,

$$f(x) = f(x_1) + f(x_2) - 3\Delta^3_{\underbrace{000...00}_{k_1-1}1\underbrace{000...00}_{k_2-k_1-1}1...\quad\underbrace{000...00}_{k_n-(k_1+\cdots+k_{n-1})-1}1...} =$$

$$= 2x - 3\Delta^3_{\underbrace{000...00}_{k_1-1}1\underbrace{000...00}_{k_2-k_1-1}1...\quad\underbrace{000...00}_{k_n-(k_1+\ldots+k_{n-1})-1}1...}, \text{ where}$$

$x = \Delta^3_{e_1e_2...e_{k_1-1}2e_{k_1+1}...e_{k_2-1}2e_{k_2+1}...e_{k_n-1}2e_{k_n+1}...}$, $e_k \in \{0,1\}$. That is k_n is the position of the nth digit 2 in the representation of x.

The last-mentioned representation of the function f and the following representation

$$f(x) = 2x - 3f_{01}(x),$$

where

$$x = \Delta^3_{\alpha_1\alpha_2...\alpha_n...} \overset{f_{01}}{\to} \Delta^3_{\varphi_{01}(\alpha_1)\varphi_{01}(\alpha_2)...\varphi_{01}(\alpha_n)...} = f_{01}(x) = y,$$

$$\varphi_{01}(\alpha_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha_i \in \{0,1\} \\ 1 & \text{if } \alpha_i = 2, \end{cases}$$

i.e., $\varphi_{01}(i) = \frac{i^2-i}{2}$ for $i \in N_2^0$, are equivalent.

It is easy to prove that $f_{01}(x) = x - \Delta^3_{111...} + f_{12}(x) = x - \frac{1}{2} + f_{12}(x)$, where

$$x = \Delta^3_{\alpha_1\alpha_2...\alpha_n...} \overset{f_{12}}{\to} \Delta^3_{\varphi_{12}(\alpha_1)\varphi_{12}(\alpha_2)...\varphi_{12}(\alpha_n)...} = f_{12}(x) = y,$$

$\varphi_{12}(i) = \frac{i^2-3i+2}{2}$, $i \in N_2^0$.

Hence,

$$f(x) = 2x - 3f_{01}(x) = 2x - 3\left(x - \frac{1}{2} + f_{12}(x)\right) = \frac{3}{2} - x - 3f_{12}(x).$$

Since the following relationship

$$f_{01}(x) + f_{12}(x) + f_{02}(x) = \Delta^3_{111...} = \frac{1}{2}$$

holds for any $x \in [0,1]$, summing (2) and (3), we obtain

$$2f(x) = x + \frac{3}{2} - 3(f_{01}(x) + f_{12}(x)) = x + \frac{3}{2} - 3(\frac{1}{2} - f_{02}(x)) = x + 3f_{02}(x).$$

□

Lemma 2. *The functions $f, f_{01}, f_{02}, f_{12}$ have the following properties:*

(1)

$$[0,1] \overset{f}{\to} \left([0,1] \setminus \{\Delta^3_{\alpha_1\alpha_2...\alpha_n111...}\}\right) \cup \left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\};$$

(2) *the point $x_0 = 0$ is a unique invariant point of the function f;*

(3) *the function f is not bijective on a certain countable subset of $[0,1]$.*

(4) *the following relationships hold for all* $x \in [0,1]$*:*

$$f(x) - f(1-x) = f_{01}(x) - f_{12}(x), \tag{5}$$

$$f(x) + f(1-x) = \frac{1}{2} + 3f_{02}(x), \tag{6}$$

$$f_{01}(x) + f_{02}(x) + f_{12}(x) = \frac{1}{2}, \tag{7}$$

$$2f_{01}(x) + f_{02}(x) = x, \tag{8}$$

$$f_{01}(x) - f_{12}(x) = x - \frac{1}{2}; \tag{9}$$

(5) *the function* f *is not monotonic on the domain, in particular the function* f *is a decreasing function on the set*

$$\{x : x_1 < x_2 \Rightarrow (x_1 = \Delta^3_{c_1\ldots c_{n_0}1\alpha_{n_0+2}\alpha_{n_0+3}\ldots} \wedge x_2 = \Delta^3_{c_1\ldots c_{n_0}2\beta_{n_0+2}\beta_{n_0+3}\ldots})\},$$

where $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$*,* $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{n_0}$ *is an ordered set of the ternary digits,* $\alpha_{n_0+i} \in N_2^0$*,* $\beta_{n_0+i} \in N_2^0$*,* $i \in \mathbb{N}$*, and the function* f *is an increasing function on the set*

$$\{x : x_1 < x_2 \Rightarrow (x_1 = \Delta^3_{c_1\ldots c_{n_0}0\alpha_{n_0+2}\alpha_{n_0+3}\ldots} \wedge x_2 = \Delta^3_{c_1\ldots c_{n_0}r\beta_{n_0+2}\beta_{n_0+3}\ldots})\},$$

where $r \in \{1,2\}$*.*

Proof. The first and the second properties of the function f follows from (1).

Let us prove that *the third property* is true. Let $x_1 = \Delta^3_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_n\ldots}$ and $x_2 = \Delta^3_{\beta_1\beta_2\ldots\beta_n\ldots}$ be numbers such that $x_1 \neq x_2$. Find the following set

$$G = \{x : f(x_1) = f(x_2), x_1 \neq x_2\}.$$

- Let $f(x_1) = f(x_2) = y_{1,2}$ be a ternary-irrational number. Then

$$\varphi(\alpha_n) = \varphi(\beta_n)\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and there exists n_0 such that $\alpha_{n_0} \neq \beta_{n_0}$. It follows from the last-mentioned inequality and (1) that $\varphi(\alpha_{n_0}) \neq \varphi(\beta_{n_0})$. This contradicts the equality $\varphi(\alpha_n) = \varphi(\beta_n)$. Hence the set G does not contain the set of ternary-irrational numbers.

- Let $f(x_1) = f(x_2) = y_{1,2}$ be a ternary-rational number. Then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that

$$y_{1,2} = \Delta^3_{\varphi(\alpha_1)\varphi(\alpha_2)\ldots\varphi(\alpha_{n_0})\varphi(\alpha_{n_0+1})000\ldots} = \Delta^3_{\varphi(\beta_1)\varphi(\beta_2)\ldots\varphi(\beta_{n_0})(\varphi(\alpha_{n_0+1})-1)222\ldots}$$

or

$$y_{1,2} = \Delta^3_{\varphi(\beta_1)\varphi(\beta_2)\ldots\varphi(\beta_{n_0})\varphi(\beta_{n_0+1})000\ldots} = \Delta^3_{\varphi(\alpha_1)\varphi(\alpha_2)\ldots\varphi(\alpha_{n_0})(\varphi(\beta_{n_0+1})-1)222\ldots}.$$

That is

$$\left[\begin{array}{l}\left\{\begin{array}{r}\varphi(\alpha_{n_0+2})=\varphi(\alpha_{n_0+3})=\ldots=0\\ \varphi(\beta_{n_0+2})=\varphi(\beta_{n_0+3})=\ldots=2\\ \varphi(\beta_{n_0+1})=\varphi(\alpha_{n_0+1})-1\end{array}\right.\\ \left\{\begin{array}{r}\varphi(\beta_{n_0+2})=\varphi(\beta_{n_0+3})=\ldots=0\\ \varphi(\alpha_{n_0+2})=\varphi(\alpha_{n_0+3})=\ldots=2\\ \varphi(\alpha_{n_0+1})=\varphi(\beta_{n_0+1})-1.\end{array}\right.\end{array}\right.$$

Here $\varphi(\alpha_1)=\varphi(\beta_1),\varphi(\alpha_2)=\varphi(\beta_2),\ldots,\varphi(\alpha_{n_0})=\varphi(\beta_{n_0})$.

Hence,

$$\left[\begin{array}{l}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\alpha_{n_0+2}=\alpha_{n_0+3}=\ldots=0\\ \beta_{n_0+2}=\beta_{n_0+3}=\ldots=1\\ \quad\left[\begin{array}{l}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\alpha_{n_0+1}=2\\ \beta_{n_0+1}=0\end{array}\right.\\ \left\{\begin{array}{l}\alpha_{n_0+1}=1\\ \beta_{n_0+1}=2\end{array}\right.\end{array}\right.\end{array}\right.\\ \left\{\begin{array}{l}\alpha_{n_0+2}=\alpha_{n_0+3}=\ldots=1\\ \beta_{n_0+2}=\beta_{n_0+3}=\ldots=0\\ \quad\left[\begin{array}{l}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\alpha_{n_0+1}=0\\ \beta_{n_0+1}=2\end{array}\right.\\ \left\{\begin{array}{l}\alpha_{n_0+1}=2\\ \beta_{n_0+1}=1.\end{array}\right.\end{array}\right.\end{array}\right.\end{array}\right.$$

So $f(x_1)=f(x_2)$ for $x_1\neq x_2$ on the following sets:

- $G_1=\left\{x: x_1=\Delta^3_{c_1c_2\ldots c_{n_0}2000\ldots}\wedge x_2=\Delta^3_{c_1c_2\ldots c_{n_0}0111\ldots}\right\}$,
- $G_2=\left\{x: x_1=\Delta^3_{c_1c_2\ldots c_{n_0}1000\ldots}\wedge x_2=\Delta^3_{c_1c_2\ldots c_{n_0}2111\ldots}\right\}$,
- $G_3=\left\{x: x_1=\Delta^3_{c_1c_2\ldots c_{n_0}0111\ldots}\wedge x_2=\Delta^3_{c_1c_2\ldots c_{n_0}2000\ldots}\right\}$,
- $G_4=\left\{x: x_1=\Delta^3_{c_1c_2\ldots c_{n_0}2111\ldots}\wedge x_2=\Delta^3_{c_1c_2\ldots c_{n_0}1000\ldots}\right\}$,

where $c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_n$ are the fixed ternary digits, $n_0\in\mathbb{Z}^+$. Since the set

$$G=G_1\cup G_2\cup G_3\cup G_4$$

is a subset of the set of rational numbers, we obtain that G is countable.

Let us prove that *the fourth property* is true. Clearly, $\varphi(i)=\frac{-3i^2+7i}{2}$, $i\in\{0,1,2\}$, and $1=\Delta^3_{222\ldots}$. Consider the difference

$$\varphi(i)-\varphi(2-i)=\frac{-3i^2+7i}{2}-\frac{-3(2-i)^2+7(2-i)}{2}=$$

$$=\frac{-3i^2+7i+3(4-4i+i^2)-7(2-i)}{2}=\frac{2i-2}{2}=i-1.$$

Similarly, from the definitions of f_{01} and f_{12} we have the following:

$$\varphi_{01}(i)-\varphi_{12}(i)=\frac{i^2-i}{2}-\frac{i^2-3i+2}{2}=\frac{2i-2}{2}=i-1.$$

So relationship (5) holds.

It is easy to prove that (6) holds. Obviously,

$$\varphi(i)+\varphi(2-i)=\frac{-3i^2+7i}{2}+\frac{-3(2-i)^2+7(2-i)}{2}=$$
$$=\frac{-3i^2+7i-3(4-4i+i^2)+14-7i}{2}=\frac{-6i^2+12i+2}{2}=$$
$$=-3i^2+6i+1=1-3(-i^2+2i)=\frac{1}{2}+3\varphi_{02}(i).$$

Relationships (7) and (8) follow from the definitions of f_{01}, f_{02}, f_{12}.

Let us show that relationship (9) holds. Substracting (3) from (2) and multiplying the obtained difference by $\frac{1}{3}$, we get the equality that is equivalent to (9).

Let $x_1=\Delta^3_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_n\ldots}$ and $x_2=\Delta^3_{\beta_1\beta_2\ldots\beta_n\ldots}$. A certain function f is called *a decreasing function* whenever the inequality $f(x_1)>f(x_2)$ holds for any $x_1<x_2$ from the domain of f. In our case, there exists $n_0\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $\varphi(\alpha_{n_0+1})>\varphi(\beta_{n_0+1})$ for $\alpha_{n_0+1}<\beta_{n_0+1}$ and $\alpha_1=\beta_1,\ldots,\alpha_{n_0}=\beta_{n_0}$. Hence from the definition of f it follows that $\alpha_{n_0+1}=1$ and $\beta_{n_0+1}=2$. Therefore the function f is a decreasing function on the following set:

$$\left\{x:x_1<x_2\Rightarrow(x_1=\Delta^3_{c_1\ldots c_{n_0}1\alpha_{n_0+2}\alpha_{n_0+3}\ldots}\wedge x_2=\Delta^3_{c_1\ldots c_{n_0}2\beta_{n_0+2}\beta_{n_0+3}\ldots})\right\},$$

where $c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_{n_0}$ are fixed ternary digits, n_0 is a fixed positive integer.

A certain function f is called *an increasing function* whenever $f(x_1)<f(x_2)$ holds for any $x_1<x_2$ from the domain of f. In this case, there exists $n_0\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $\varphi(\alpha_{n_0+1})<\varphi(\beta_{n_0+1})$ for $\alpha_{n_0+1}<\beta_{n_0+1}$ and $\alpha_1=\beta_1,\ldots,\alpha_{n_0}=\beta_{n_0}$. Similarly, the function f is an increasing function on the following set:

$$\left\{x:x_1<x_2\Rightarrow(x_1=\Delta^3_{c_1\ldots c_{n_0}0\alpha_{n_0+2}\alpha_{n_0+3}\ldots}\wedge x_2=\Delta^3_{c_1\ldots c_{n_0}r\beta_{n_0+2}\beta_{n_0+3}\ldots})\right\},$$

where $r\in\{1,2\}$. □

Theorem 1. *The function f satisfies the following functional equation:*

$$f(x)-f(1-x)=x-\frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. The statement follows from (4), (5), (8), and (9). □

3. Differential properties

Theorem 2. *The function f is continuous at ternary-irrational points, and ternary-rational points are points of discontinuity of the function. Furthermore, a ternary-rational point $x_0=\Delta^3_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_n000\ldots}$ is a point of discontinuity $\frac{1}{2\cdot3^{n-1}}$ whenever $\alpha_n=1$, and is a point of discontinuity $\left(-\frac{1}{2\cdot3^{n-1}}\right)$ whenever $\alpha_n=2$.*

Proof. Let x_0 be a ternary-irrational number. Let us show that

$$\lim_{x\to x_0} |f(x) - f(x_0)| = 0.$$

For an arbitrary $x = \Delta^3_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_n\ldots} \in [0,1]$ there exists $n_0 = n_0(x)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_m(x) = \alpha_m(x_0),\ m = \overline{1, n_0 - 1} \\ \alpha_{n_0}(x) \neq \alpha_{n_0}(x_0), \end{cases}$$

and the conditions $x \to x_0$ and $n_0 \to \infty$ are equivalent. Hence,

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| = \left| \sum_{l=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(\alpha_l(x)) - \varphi(\alpha_l(x_0))}{3^l} \right| \leq \sum_{l=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{|\varphi(\alpha_l(x)) - \varphi(\alpha_l(x_0))|}{3^l} \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{l=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{2}{3^l} = \frac{1}{3^{n_0-1}} \to 0\ (n_0 \to \infty),$$

Whence the function f is continuous at the point x_0.

Let x_0 be a ternary-rational number. That is

$$x_0 = \Delta^3_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_{n-1}\alpha_n 000\ldots} = \Delta^3_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_{n-1}(\alpha_n-1)222\ldots},\ \alpha_n \neq 0.$$

Then

$$\lim_{x\to x_0-0} f(x) = \Delta^3_{\varphi(\alpha_1)\varphi(\alpha_2)\ldots\varphi(\alpha_{n-1})\varphi(\alpha_n-1)111\ldots},$$

$$\lim_{x\to x_0+0} f(x) = \Delta^3_{\varphi(\alpha_1)\varphi(\alpha_2)\ldots\varphi(\alpha_{n-1})\varphi(\alpha_n)000\ldots},$$

$$\lim_{x\to x_0+0} f(x) - \lim_{x\to x_0-0} f(x) = \frac{\varphi(\alpha_n) - \varphi(\alpha_n - 1) - 1}{2\cdot 3^n} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\cdot 3^{n-1}} & \text{if } \alpha_n = 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2\cdot 3^{n-1}} & \text{if } \alpha_n = 2. \end{cases}$$

Whence x_0 is a point of discontinuity of f. □

Theorem 3. *The function f is nowhere differentiable.*

Proof. Since ternary-rational points are points of discontinuity of f, we shall not consider these points.

Let x_0 be an arbitrary ternary-irrational number from $[0;1]$. Since one of the digits is used infinitely many times in the ternary representation of x_0, we fix this digit α and fix one of the positions n_0 such that $\alpha_{n_0} = \alpha$ in the ternary representation of x_0. That is $x_0 = \Delta^3_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_{n_0-1}\alpha\alpha_{n_0+1}\ldots}$. Let $(x_{n'})$ be a sequence of numbers $x_{n'}$ such that

$$x_{n'} = \Delta^3_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_{n_0-1}\beta_{n_0}\alpha_{n_0+1}\ldots}.$$

Then

$$x_0 - x_{n'} = \frac{\alpha - \beta_{n_0}}{3^{n_0}} \text{ and } f(x_0) - f(x_{n'}) = \frac{\varphi(\alpha) - \varphi(\beta_{n_0})}{3^{n_0}}.$$

Hence,

$$f'(x) = \lim_{\alpha\to\beta_{n_0}} \frac{\varphi(\alpha) - \varphi(\beta_{n_0})}{\alpha - \beta_{n_0}}.$$

Since, for different values of α and $\beta_{n_0} \neq \alpha$, the derivative of f at the point x_0 has different values, the function f is nowhere differentiable. □

4. Fractal properties of level sets

Consider fractal properties of all level sets of the functions f_{01}, f_{02}, f_{12}.

The following set

$$f^{-1}(y_0) = \{x : g(x) = y_0\},$$

where y_0 is a fixed element of range of values $E(g)$ of the function g, is called *a level set of* g.

Theorem 4. *The following statements are true:*

- *if there exists at least one digit* 2 *in the ternary representation of* y_0*, then* $f_{ij}^{-1}(y_0) = \varnothing$*;*
- *if* $y_0 = 0$ *or* y_0 *is a ternary-rational number from the set* $C[3, \{0, 1\}]$*, then*

$$\alpha_0(f_{ij}^{-1}(y_0)) = \log_3 2;$$

- *if* y_0 *is a ternary-irrational number from the set* $C[3, \{0, 1\}]$*, then*

$$0 \le \alpha_0(f_{ij}^{-1}(y_0)) \le \log_3 2,$$

where $\alpha_0(f_{ij}^{-1}(y_0))$ *is the Hausdorff-Besicovitch dimension of* $f_{ij}^{-1}(y_0)$*.*

Proof. From the definition of f_{ij} it follows that $f_{ij}^{-1}(y_0) = \varnothing$ whenever there exists at least one digit 2 in the ternary representation of y_0. That is range of values of f_{ij} is the following set

$$E_1 = \left\{x : x = \Delta^3_{e_1 e_2 \ldots e_n \ldots}, e_n \in \{0, 1\}\right\} = C[3, \{0, 1\}].$$

Similarly, if $y_0 = 0$, then the set of preimages is a set of Cantor type and a value of the Hausdorff-Besicovitch dimension of this set equals $\log_3 2$. The sets of preimages of 0 under the functions f_{01}, f_{02}, f_{12} are the following sets respectively: E_1, $E_2 = \{x : x = \Delta^3_{u_1 u_2 \ldots u_n \ldots}, u_n \in \{0, 2\}\}$, $E_3 = \{x : x = \Delta^3_{v_1 v_2 \ldots v_n \ldots}, v_n \in \{1, 2\}\}$.

Let y_0 be a ternary-rational number from E_1, i.e.,

$$y_0 = \frac{1}{3^{l_1}} + \frac{1}{3^{l_2}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{3^{l_{n_0}}} + \frac{0}{3^{l_{n_0}+1}} + \frac{0}{3^{l_{n_0}+2}} + \ldots.$$

Then the set of preimages of y_0 under the functions f_{01}, f_{02}, f_{12} is the set of all numbers from $[0, 1]$ such that:

(1) are numbers whose the ternary representation is periodic and this period contains digits from the sets $\{0, 1\}$ (in the case of the function f_{01}), $\{0, 2\}$ (in the case of f_{02}), $\{1, 2\}$ (in the case of f_{12}) respectively;
(2) are irrational numbers such that their ternary representation contains the digits from $\{0, 1\}, \{0, 2\}, \{1, 2\}$ respectively on positions $n_0 + 1, n_0 + 2, \ldots$, where n_0 is a fixed number from $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

It is easy to prove that a value of the Hausdorff-Besicovitch dimension of such set equals $\log_3 2$. For example, consider the case of the function $y = f_{01}(x)$. Obviously,

$$f_{01}^{-1}(y_0) = \left\{x : x = a_{l_{n_0}} + \frac{1}{3^{l_{n_0}}}\left(\frac{e_{l_{n_0}+1}}{3} + \frac{e_{l_{n_0}+2}}{3^2} + \cdots + \frac{e_{l_{n_0}+m}}{3^m} + \ldots\right)\right\} =$$

$$= \left\{ x : x = a_{l_{n_0}} + \frac{1}{3^{l_{n_0}}} E_1 \right\}, \text{ where } a_{l_n} = \Delta^3_{e_1 \ldots e_{l_1-1} 2 e_{l_1+1} \ldots e_{l_2-1} 2 e_{l_2+1} \ldots e_{l_{n_0}-1} 200\ldots},$$

n_0 is a fixed positive integer, $e_{l_n} \in \{0, 1\}$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since n_0 is a fixed number that depends only on y_0, we obtain

$$\alpha_0(f_{01}^{-1}(y_0)) = \alpha_0(E_1) = \log_3 2,$$

where $\alpha_0(f_{01}^{-1}(y_0))$ is the Hausdorff-Besicivitch dimension of the set $f_{01}^{-1}(y_0)$.

Consider the cases of the functions f_{02} and f_{12}.

Let y_0 be a ternary-irrational number from E_1, i.e.,

$$y_0 = \frac{1}{3^{l_1}} + \frac{1}{3^{l_2}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{3^{l_n}} + \ldots.$$

Then

$$f_{ij}^{-1}(y_0) = \{x : x = \widehat{\Delta}^{l_1 l_2 \ldots l_n \ldots}_{kk\ldots k\ldots}, l_n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

where $\widehat{\Delta}^{l_1 l_2 \ldots l_n \ldots}_{kk\ldots k\ldots}$ is a number from $[0, 1]$ such that the digit k is situated on the positions $l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_n, \ldots$, and the digits from $\{i, j\}$ are situated on other positions in the ternary representation of this number. Here $l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_n, \ldots$, are fixed positive integers and depend on y_0 such that $y_0 = f_{ij}(x_0)$. That is the sequence (l_n) is a given monotonic increasing sequence of positive integers.

Hence $0 \le \alpha_0(f_{ij}^{-1}(y_0)) \le \log_3 2$. This fact depends on the frequence of the digit 1 in the ternary representation of y_0. Since

$$\widehat{\Delta}^{l_1 l_2 \ldots l_n \ldots}_{kk\ldots k\ldots} = \Delta^3_{\underbrace{00\ldots00}_{l_1-1} k \underbrace{000\ldots000}_{l_2-l_1-1} k\ldots} + \widehat{\Delta}^{l_1 l_2 \ldots l_n \ldots}_{00\ldots0\ldots},$$

where $\Delta^3_{\underbrace{00\ldots00}_{l_1-1} k \underbrace{000\ldots000}_{l_2-l_1-1} k\ldots}$ is a fixed number that depens only on y_0, and $\widehat{\Delta}^{l_1 l_2 \ldots l_n \ldots}_{00\ldots0\ldots}$ is a subset of $C[3, \{i, j\}]$ (for $k \ne 0$), and

$$\alpha_0(f_{ij}^{-1}(y_0)) \to 0 \text{ as } \frac{n}{l_n} \to 1,$$

$$\alpha_0(f_{ij}^{-1}(y_0)) \to \log_3 2 \text{ as } \frac{n}{l_n} \to 0,$$

as $n \to \infty$. The last two paragraphs are true for $k = 0$ as well. □

5. Fractal properties of graph of considered function

Suppose that

$$X = [0; 1] \times [0; 1] = \left\{ (x, y) : x = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_m}{3^m}, \alpha_m \in N_2^0, y = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_m}{3^m}, \beta_m \in N_2^0 \right\}.$$

Then the set

$$\sqcap_{(\alpha_1\beta_1)(\alpha_2\beta_2)\ldots(\alpha_m\beta_m)} = \Delta^3_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_m} \times \Delta^3_{\beta_1\beta_2\ldots\beta_m}$$

is a square with a side length of 3^{-m}. This square is called *a square of rank m with the base $(\alpha_1\beta_1)(\alpha_2\beta_2)\ldots(\alpha_m\beta_m)$*.

If $E \subset X$, then the number

$$\alpha^K(E) = \inf\{\alpha : \widehat{H}_\alpha(E) = 0\} = \sup\{\alpha : \widehat{H}_\alpha(E) = \infty\},$$

where

$$\widehat{H}_\alpha(E) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left[\inf_{d \le \varepsilon} K(E,d) d^\alpha \right]$$

and $K(E,d)$ is the minimum number of squares of diameter d required to cover the set E, is called *the fractal cell entropy dimension of the set E.* It is easy to see that $\alpha^K(E) \ge \alpha_0(E)$.

Theorem 5. *The Hausdorff-Besicovitch dimension of the graph of f is equal to 1.*

Proof. From the definition and properties of the function f it follows that the graph of the function belongs to 3 squares from 9 first-rank squares:

$$\sqcap_{(ij)} = \left[\frac{i}{3}; \frac{i+1}{3}\right] \times \left[\frac{j}{3}; \frac{j+1}{3}\right],\ i \in N_2^0,\ j \in N_2^0,$$

i.e., $\sqcap_{(00)}, \sqcap_{(12)}, \sqcap_{(21)}$.

The graph of the function f belongs to $9 = 3^2$ squares from $81 = 3^4$ second-rank squares:

$$\sqcap_{(i_1 j_2)(i_2 j_2)} = \left[\frac{i_1}{3} + \frac{i_2}{3^2}; \frac{i_1}{3} + \frac{i_2+1}{3^2}\right] \times \left[\frac{j_1}{3} + \frac{j_2}{3^2}; \frac{j+1}{3} + \frac{j_2+1}{3^2}\right],\ i \in N_2^0,\ j \in N_2^0,$$

$i_1 \in N_2^0, i_2 \in N_2^0, j_1 \in N_2^0, j_2 \in N_2^0$, i.e.,

(1) The part of the graph, which is in the square $\sqcap_{(00)}$, belongs to 3 squares $\sqcap_{(00)(00)}, \sqcap_{(00)(12)}, \sqcap_{(00)(21)}$;
(2) the part of the graph, which is in the square $\sqcap_{(12)}$, belongs to 3 squares $\sqcap_{(12)(00)}, \sqcap_{(12)(12)}, \sqcap_{(12)(21)}$;
(3) the part of the graph, which is in the square $\sqcap_{(21)}$, belongs to 3 squares $\sqcap_{(21)(00)}, \sqcap_{(21)(12)}, \sqcap_{(21)(21)}$, etc.

The graph Γ_f of the function f belongs to 3^m squares of rank m with side 3^{-m}. Then

$$\widehat{H}_\alpha(\Gamma_f) = \lim_{m \to \infty} 3^m \left(\sqrt{3^{-2m} + 3^{-2m}}\right)^\alpha = \lim_{m \to \infty} 3^m \left(2 \cdot 3^{-2m}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} =$$

$$= \lim_{m \to \infty} \left(3^{\frac{2m}{\alpha} - 2m} \cdot 2\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} = \lim_{m \to \infty} \left(2^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cdot (3^{1-\alpha})^m\right).$$

It is obvious that if $3^{(1-\alpha)m} \to 0$ for $\alpha > 1$, and the graph of the function has self-similar properties, then $\alpha^K(\Gamma_f) = \alpha_0(\Gamma_f) = 1$. □

6. Lebesgue integral

Theorem 6. *The Lebesgue integral of the function f is equal to $\frac{1}{2}$.*

Proof. The conditions of existence of the Lebesgue integral are true for the function f. Since the function has self-similar properties, the Lebesgue integral I of f can be calculated by the following equality:

$$I = 3\frac{1}{3^2} + 3I\frac{1}{3^2} = \frac{1}{3}I + \frac{1}{3},$$

whence $I = \frac{1}{2}$. □

7. Certain generalizations

One can define $m = 3! = 6$ functions determined on $[0, 1]$ in terms of the ternary number system by the following way:

$$\Delta^3_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_n\ldots} \overset{f_m}{\to} \Delta^3_{\varphi_m(\alpha_1)\varphi_m(\alpha_2)\ldots\varphi_m(\alpha_n)\ldots},$$

where the function $\varphi_m(\alpha_n)$ determined on an alphabet of the ternary number system and $f_m(x)$ is defined by the following table for each $m = \overline{1, 6}$.

	0	1	2
$\varphi_1(\alpha_n)$	0	1	2
$\varphi_2(\alpha_n)$	0	2	1
$\varphi_3(\alpha_n)$	1	0	2
$\varphi_4(\alpha_n)$	1	2	0
$\varphi_5(\alpha_n)$	2	0	1
$\varphi_6(\alpha_n)$	2	1	0

That is one can to model a class of functions whose values are obtained from the ternary representation of the argument by a certain change of ternary digits.

It is easy to see that the function $f_1(x)$ is the function $y = x$ and the function $f_6(x)$ is the function $y = 1 - x$, i. e.,

$$y = f_1(x) = f_1\left(\Delta^3_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_n\ldots}\right) = \Delta^3_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_n\ldots} = x,$$

$$y = f_6(x) = f_6\left(\Delta^3_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_n\ldots}\right) = \Delta^3_{[2-\alpha_1][2-\alpha_2]\ldots[2-\alpha_n]\ldots} = 1 - x.$$

Lemma 3. *Any function f_m can be represented by the functions f_{ij} in the following form*

$$f_m = a_m^{(ij)}x + b_m^{(ij)} + c_m^{(ij)}f_{ij}(x), \text{ where } a_m^{(ij)}, b_m^{(ij)}, c_m^{(ij)} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

One can to formulate the following corollary.

Theorem 7. *The function f_m such that $f_m(x) \neq x$ and $f_m(x) \neq 1 - x$ is:*

- *continuous almost everywhere;*
- *nowhere differentiable;*
- *a function whose the Hausdorff-Besicovitch dimension of the grapf is equal to 1;*
- *a function whose the Lebesgue integral is equal to $\frac{1}{2}$.*

References

[1] K. J. Falconer, *Fractal Geometry*, Wiley, Chichester, 1990.

[2] D. Hensley, Continued fraction Cantor sets, Hausdorff dimension, and functional analysis, *J. Number Theory*, **40**, 336–358 (1992).

[3] H. Pelyuh and A. Sharkovskyi, *Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations*, Naukova dumka, Kyiv, 1974 (in Russian).

[4] S. O. Serbenyuk. On one nearly everywhere continuous and nowhere differentiable function, that defined by automaton with finite memory, *International Scientific Conference "Asymptotic Methods in the Theory of Differential Equations" dedicated to 80th anniversary of M. I. Shkil:* Abstracts, Kyiv: National Pedagogical Dragomanov University, 2012. — P. 93 (in Ukrainian), available at https://www.researchgate.net/publication/301765319

[5] S. Serbenyuk, On one nearly everywhere continuous and nowhere differentiable function, that defined by automaton with finite memory, *Trans. Natl. Pedagog. Mykhailo Dragomanov Univ. Ser. 1. Phys. Math.,* **13** (2012), no. 2, 166–182 (in Ukrainian), available at https://www.researchgate.net/publication/292970012

[6] S. O. Serbenyuk, On one generalization of functions defined by automatons with finite memory, *Third Interuniversity Scientific Conference of Young Scientists on Mathematics and Physics:* Abstracts, Kyiv: National University of Kyiv-Mohyla Academy, 2013. — P. 112–113 (in Ukrainian), available at https://www.researchgate.net/publication/301765326

[7] S. Serbenyuk, On two functions with complicated local structure, *Fifth International Conference on Analytic Number Theory and Spatial Tessellations:* Abstracts, Kyiv: Institute of Mathematics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine and Institute of Physics and Mathematics of the National Pedagogical Dragomanov University, 2013. — P. 51–52, available at https://www.researchgate.net/publication/303052308

[8] *Serbenyuk S.* On one class of functions with complicated local structure, available at https://arxiv.org/pdf/1601.06126.pdf

Institute of Mathematics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 3 Tereschenkivska St., Kyiv, 01004, Ukraine

E-mail address: simon6@ukr.net